



MINUTES

ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW
COMMITTEE
MARCH 18, 2021
3:30 PM
VIRTUAL MEETING

A. ROLL CALL

Members Present:

Chair David Eck
Member Jake Ivey
Member Derek Pierce
Member Cortney Wands
Member Dale Zinn

Members Excused:

Others Attending:

The Archaeological Review Committee Hearing was called to order by David Eck, Chair, at approximately 3:42 p.m., on Thursday, March 4, 2021, via virtual attendance on Zoom, streaming live on the City of Santa Fe's YouTube Channel at <https://www.youtube.com/user/city of Santa Fe>, City of Santa Fe, New Mexico.

A. ROLL CALL

Members Present

Members Absent

David Eck, Chair
Derek Pierce, Vice-Chair
James Edward Ivey
Dale F. Zinn
Cortney Anne Wands

City Personnel Present

Nicole Ramirez-Thomas, HP Division – Interim Committee Liaison

There was a quorum of the membership in attendance for conducting official business.



City of Santa Fe

MINUTES

ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW
COMMITTEE
MARCH 18, 2021
3:30 PM
VIRTUAL MEETING

NOTE: All items in the Committee packet for all agenda items are incorporated herewith to these minutes by reference, and the original Committee packet is on file in, and may be obtained from, the City of Santa Fe Historic Preservation Division.

B. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

C. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

MOTION: Member Zinn moved, seconded by Member Pierce, to approve the agenda as presented.

VOTE: The motion was approved on the following Roll Call vote:

For: Member Ivey, Member Pierce, Member Wands, Member Zinn

Against: None

Abstain:

D. APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

1. February 4, 2021

MOTION: Member Zinn moved, seconded by Member Pierce, to Motion to postpone approval of minutes. Tabled until corrections can be made.

VOTE: The motion was tabled on the following Roll Call vote:

For: Member Ivey, Member Pierce, Member Wands, Member Zinn

Against: None

Abstain:

There were several errors to correct in these minutes, including the correct staff report for case 3100.



MINUTES

ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW
COMMITTEE
MARCH 18, 2021
3:30 PM
VIRTUAL MEETING

E. APPROVAL OF FINDINGS/CONCLUSIONS

There were no matters from the floor.

F. OLD BUSINESS

1. Case #2021-003100-ARC. Ron Winters, agent for Chuck Staben, requests approval of an archaeological reconnaissance report for a lot split of 3.119 acres at 1122 Old Santa Fe Trail in the River and Trails Archaeological Review District.
(Nicole Ramirez Thomas) (POSTPONED FROM MARCH 4, 2021)

MOTION: Member Zinn moved, seconded by Member Pierce, to approve the land use case as presented.

VOTE: The motion was approved on the following Roll Call vote:

For: Member Ivey, Member Pierce, Member Zinn

Against: None

Abstain:

BACKGROUND & SUMMARY:

1122 Old Santa Fe Trail is an approximate 3.1-acre property adjacent to and surrounded by remnants of the Santa Fe Trail. A report was submitted to the ARC for discussion at their February 4 hearing where the case was postponed for correction of the Laboratory of Anthropology numbers. The corrections requested are presented for review by the Committee.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff defers to the ARC for approval of the project and its compliance with 14-3.13(C)(6) Procedures for Santa Fe Trail.

COMMITTEE COMMENTS

Member Wands recused herself from consideration of this case because she was not present at the first presentation of the case.



MINUTES

ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW
COMMITTEE
MARCH 18, 2021
3:30 PM
VIRTUAL MEETING

Chair Eck asked if there were changes in the Staff Report since last time.

Ms. Ramirez-Thomas said only removal of the Code citation.

Mr. Ron Winters was sworn. He thanked the Committee for their input and apologized for his inability to be present at the last meeting. He stated that three years ago, Dede Snow had directed him to obtain an LA number for each individual segment of the Santa Fe Trail. And that was what he did. In the last two years, she had gone in and assigned new LA numbers to those segments. That he had previously given a number to.

He said he had left three messages for Member Pierce to call back but had not been called.

Member Pierce apologized. He had been having trouble with his phone and may have wiped them out without knowing he had voice messages. For him, email messages were best.

Mr. Winters said he did follow the Committee's directions and gave the Santa Fe Trail segments the same numbers. The segments appear to be continuous to adjacent properties. Someone created a GIS map that was showing the trail segments, and he has that. It is not the be all, end all. It is great use of technology but unless you can confirm what is there, it is just another tool and he couldn't just rely on that. The person doing trail maps is calling these trail ruts. But they could be a foot path, a bridle path, etc. He had numerous maps showing trail ruts and it comes down to what you can see there. There were as many as six. But he didn't see anything very far south but did see them going to the northwest.

He apologized for giving the report piecemeal and wanted to address the main concerns. He welcomed the Committee's input.

Ms. Ramirez-Thomas clarified the map showing the trail ruts was the City's GIS map with overlay. There were a couple of different maps. The overlay isn't accurate. There also was the Google map and several photos. She went out to the property and looked around the back parcel and confirmed with Mr. Staben the remnants of the rut. That was her investigation.



City of Santa Fe

MINUTES

ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW
COMMITTEE
MARCH 18, 2021
3:30 PM
VIRTUAL MEETING

Mr. Winters pointed out that the map showing the south-central portion shows a break and he found that. But it is the same segment. In fact, that whole segment was rather difficult to trace.

Member Ivey had no comments.

Member Zinn noted a conflict in the inventory at the end of the submittal 3.119 acres but also 3.125 acres. He asked which number was correct.

Mr. Winters said 3.125 was the correct number of acres.

Member Zinn said, "Let the record show that 3.125 is what we are talking about."

Member Pierce lent support to Mr. Winters's first point. The ARC has gone back and forth over the years whether to lump all the Santa Fe Trail segments under a single number or to give them each their own. We don't have a consistent policy, which is behind some of the confusion. But what Mr. Winters did was correct, to give it a new number only if it is not contiguous. The same rut on two parcels should have the same number.

"Ultimately, we will create a district for the trail and relate each of the LA numbers to the district."

Mr. Winters favored that. He found that even with the background search, you don't know if it is part of the trail because it isn't always identified as Santa Fe Trail.

Member Pierce agreed. It is a Catch 22. It is a confusing mess, but you are headed in the right direction.

The Committee noted the owner was putting these areas into conservation easements.

PUBLIC COMMENT

No members of the public were present.

ACTION OF THE COMMITTEE



MINUTES

ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW
COMMITTEE
MARCH 18, 2021
3:30 PM
VIRTUAL MEETING

MOTION: Member Zinn moved, seconded by Member Pierce, to approve the report for Case # 2021-003100-ARC as submitted.

VOTE: The motion was approved on the following voice vote:

For: Jake Ivey, Derek Pierce, and Dale Zinn.

Against: None.

Member Wands was not present for the vote and rejoined the meeting after the vote was taken

G. NEW BUSINESS

1. Case #2021-003234-ARC. Tierra Right of Way Services, agent for Wilson and Company, requests approval of an archaeological monitoring plan for the City of Santa Fe's North Guadalupe Street Reconstruction Project from Agua Fria Street to Paseo de Peralta in the Downtown Archaeological Review District.
(Nicole Ramirez Thomas) (POSTPONED FROM MARCH 4, 2021)

MOTION: Member Zinn moved, seconded by Member Pierce, to approve the land use case as presented.

VOTE: The motion was on the following Roll Call vote:

For: Member Ivey, Member Pierce, Member Wands, Member Zinn

Against: None

Abstain:

STAFF REPORT

BACKGROUND & SUMMARY:



MINUTES

ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW
COMMITTEE
MARCH 18, 2021
3:30 PM
VIRTUAL MEETING

Tierra Right of Way Services requests approval of a monitoring plan for the area of potential effect for the North Guadalupe Street Reconstruction Project. The project is a City of Santa Fe Public works project which will redevelop the section of N. Guadalupe Street between Agua Fria and Paseo de Peralta and is funded a Federal Highway Administration grant.

Appendix B of the report was removed by City Staff. The appendix provided a detail of field procedures practiced by Tierra Right of Way and field forms. This can be made available to ARC members via email and by request.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends approval of the plan as it meets the intent of compliance with 14-3.13(C)(4)(d) Procedures for Historic Downtown District, Treatment.

Ms. Ramirez-Thomas added that we have some differences of opinion between the former City Attorney and the present City Attorney whether this case should come to the ARC for review and the Applicant was told that it was not necessary. Then, more recently, she was told to bring it. It has already gone through NMDOT and SHPO, and now to the ARC review.

APPLICANT'S PRESENTATION

Ms. Trisha Rude, 1621 Socorro Street, Las Cruces, New Mexico, was sworn. She had nothing to add to her submitted report or the Staff Report and would field comments and questions from the Committee.

COMMITTEE COMMENTS

Member Pierce liked the monitoring plan but given the location and all the known resources in that area, it is likely to get messy. It is not a question if but when you find resources. It will require considerable coordination between monitor in the field and the construction company. That is often difficult but especially important in this project.

It is likely that human remains will be found. The question is how one would define the threshold between disarticulated human remains which do not involve macra and burial - where you draw the line on burial. That is not



City of Santa Fe

MINUTES

ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW
COMMITTEE
MARCH 18, 2021
3:30 PM
VIRTUAL MEETING

clear in the report It is important that the monitor on the ground can quickly differentiate between animal and human bone and make that call.

Ms. Rude said she expected it, especially since there is such interest in Tesuque Pueblo. We would be treating those remains respectfully.

Member Wands said it was a good monitoring plan and wished them good luck with it.

She noted there were explanations – the northern portion of that property had been disturbed and evident from the aerials. On page 49, under artifacts, it says the artifacts would ultimately be curated with the Office of Archaeology. She clarified that the artifacts are not housed there any longer so they would not be responsible for them.

Chair Eck said it should specify the Museum of Indian Arts and Culture, Museum of New Mexico, and that would cover it.

Member Wands agreed.

Member Ivey had no other comment.

Member Zinn said when these projects cut a swath through historic districts and listed buildings onsite, it is helpful to have a picture of the buildings. That creates an opportunity for an inventory of the moment in time and it wouldn't take much effort. It is not required but nice to do.

Ms. Ramirez-Thomas mentioned there was an inventory for each building along that section of Guadalupe and SHPO and NMDOT have good photographic evidence and recording already.

Chair Eck said he had the same concern. He was happy, knowing that was done. This is a plan derived from the items documented in the inventory and doesn't have all the same information in it, so it is a handicap for the ARC members involved in the first review with not all the pieces of the puzzle available.

He had an overarching concern for a large number anticipated in discovery, and the experience over the last 40 years in this area, would be sufficient to nudge beyond unanticipated discovery and put it in an almost certain to



MINUTES

happen scenario. Depending on what is found, he would recommend not under an unanticipated approach.

Member Pierce asked for Ms. Ramirez-Thomas to explain the difference of opinion. It would be a travesty not to bring this to the ARC.

Ms. Ramirez-Thomas agreed. She clarified that when she became aware of the monitoring requirement from NMDOT, she was no longer an employee of the City but was working with the Water Division. That was how this discussion came about. She did not think she was the person who said that it need not come here when she was a City employee. Somewhere between the time she left and about a year after, New Mexico did contract Paul and she was not able to say what would happen here. It was confusing. We are working on business process and this is an item that will be addressed in the section that talks about projects that are federally funded.

Member Pierce thanked her. That was where he was headed. So next time, it will be clearly a policy that it needs ARC approval.

Ms. Ramirez-Thomas agreed. We want to make sure the incoming manager will have more comprehension on that.

PUBLIC COMMENT

There were no members of the public present.

ACTION OF THE COMMITTEE

MOTION: Member Zinn moved, seconded by Member Pierce, to approve the report in Case #2021-003234-ARC as submitted.

VOTE: The motion was approved on the following voice vote:

For: Jake Ivey, Derek Pierce, Cortney Anne Wands, and Dale Zinn.

Against: None.



MINUTES

-
2. Case #2021-003238-ARC. Office of Archaeological Studies, agent for High 5, LLC, requests approval of an archaeological monitoring plan for subsurface installation at 125 W. Water Street in the River and Trails Archaeological Review District.
(Nicole Ramirez Thomas) (POSTPONED FROM MARCH 4, 2021)

MOTION: Member Pierce moved, seconded by Member Zinn, to approve the land use case with corrections.

VOTE: The motion was approved on the following Roll Call vote:

For: Member Ivey, Member Pierce, Member Wands, Member Zinn

Against: None

Abstain:

As Chair Eck read the caption for this case, he noted the Staff Report showed this project to be in the Downtown Archaeological Review District, and not in the River and Trails Archaeological Review District.

Ms. Ramirez-Thomas agreed.

STAFF REPORT

BACKGROUND & SUMMARY:

The Office of Archaeological Studies requests approval of a monitoring plan for the installation of 285 feet of Comcast conduit which will be installed by High 5 Networks, LLC. The project will include extension of conduit from an existing vault at the corner of Don Gaspar Avenue to the alleyway between 123 and 125 W. Water Street and along the north side of Water Street just west of 125 W. Water Street.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:



MINUTES

ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW
COMMITTEE
MARCH 18, 2021
3:30 PM
VIRTUAL MEETING

Staff recommends approval of the plan as it meets the intent of compliance with 14-3.13(B)(4) Utility Mains and 14-3.13(C)(4)(d) Procedures for Historic Downtown District, Treatment.

Ms. Ramirez-Thomas and nothing else to add.

APPLICANT PRESENTATION

Ms. Karen Wening, 93 Apache Plume Drive, Santa Fe, was sworn. She had changes on three pages. The first was on page 6, Figure 2 which was to depict accessing a portion of existing conduit that runs across Water Street at Don Gaspar. She was unable to share it on her screen but had submitted the revised figure for this one. The conduit actually goes at a NW-SE angle, corner to corner, instead of straight across as shown in the original figure. It was excavated two years ago and was monitored. All historic maps will show that revision on the location of the conduit.

Ms. Ramirez-Thomas showed the revised figure.

Ms. Wening said the second change was for a citation on page 18 about $\frac{3}{4}$ down on the page, NMCHRIS #147160. The reference there should be "Wening in Press" which matches the citation in the bibliography. A second one almost at the bottom of the page also needs to match the reference in the bibliography changed to "Wening in Press/A."

The third change is on page 31, Figure 13, which should have been a revised map that was submitted for that figure.

Ms. Ramirez-Thomas showed that revised figure also.

Ms. Wening explained, in that figure, where the big green arrow was located – the conduit route goes too far north and should end just north of the edge of the building.

COMMITTEE COMMENTS

Member Zinn recalled an extensive renovation of almost all the buildings in that block by the Bokum family 15-20 years ago. It had a fairly large upgrade



City of Santa Fe

MINUTES

ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW
COMMITTEE
MARCH 18, 2021
3:30 PM
VIRTUAL MEETING

of electrical transformer equipment back there in that alley. He didn't know how much disturbance happened but there was no archaeology oversight done then. It was just on the other side of the old Normandy Hotel is the transformer and the conduits went to the rest of the properties, including Starbucks and general store.

Member Ivey had no comments.

Member Wands liked the way the plan was put together.

Member Pierce had no comments.

Chair Eck had confusion on page 4 about dimension. Somehow the dimensions don't seem to match the image and dimensions given on those sources. He identified one in the middle of the last paragraph on page 4 in the second line that said 50 feet and he thought should be fifteen feet.

Ms. Wening said it probably was more like 5' and she would correct that.

PUBLIC COMMENT

There were no members of the public present for comment.

ACTION OF THE COMMITTEE

MOTION: Member Pierce moved, seconded by Member Zinn, to approve the report in Case #2021-003238-ARC with one minor correction on a dimension.

Ms. Wening clarified the correction she would make to the description and dimension on page 4, last paragraph.

VOTE: The motion was approved on the following voice vote:

For: Jake Ivey, Derek Pierce, Cortney Anne Wands, and Dale Zinn.

Against: None.



MINUTES

ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW
COMMITTEE
MARCH 18, 2021
3:30 PM
VIRTUAL MEETING

Chair Eck liked the way Ms. Wening presented the maps and described the work.

H. STAFF COMMUNICATIONS

Ms. Ramirez-Thomas said the City plans to continue with 2021 Historic Preservation Awards and ceremony and she is working with OSFA and HSFF on the details. She thought of a suggestion for the awards as the project the Office of Archaeological Studies did at the Museum Foundation.

Member Wands thought it sounded like the Shennard Building.

Ms. Ramirez-Thomas agreed to supply the nomination form for ARC. And if anyone had others, to let her know and she would draft the nomination.

Member Zinn said he was always in favor of awards to people doing what they were not required to do. He felt the owners making the right choices deserved awards more than architects or developers.

Ms. Ramirez-Thomas asked members about HCPI forms. She recalled seeing them a few times at ARC and wanted to know if the ARC members wanted to see those HCPI forms for projects downtown. The City has them for almost every property in one way or another. It is part of research items that are of interest. She suggested they think about it and let her know.

Member Zinn asked if others want to increase the size of the project for show and tell during the meeting. He was familiar with HCPI forms and they do go hand-in-hand with where they are digging and what happened on that site five feet away.

Ms. Ramirez-Thomas thought of the project at the corner of Delgado and Palace Avenue where the archaeologists would have understood it was the foundation, they found that would have been from the greenhouse-flower shop there. That points out the utility of it.

She mentioned that at the project on Camino Ranchitos, there were very few artifacts found in the research for where they were digging. They lifted the HCPI forms for information. We are also using the website. Those are good things to consider.

Member Zinn thought an archaeologist who does their research would have looked at it.



MINUTES

ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW
COMMITTEE
MARCH 18, 2021
3:30 PM
VIRTUAL MEETING

Chair Eck added observed that if it is required in the City that archaeologists actually look at these things and include it in the previous research, then it would be useful for him to see, but he didn't see a need for regeneration of those forms on paper anywhere. He would like to get it as a huge, emailed zip file for all the reference material.

Member Pierce seconded that. In some cases it would the Case of Guadalupe, dozens and dozens would apply.

Ms. Ramirez-Thomas agreed to send that to the Committee.

I. MATTERS FROM THE COMMITTEE

Member Wands wanted no paper and see what happens.

Member Zinn agreed. He gets stacks of paper every month.

Member Wands said she didn't know what to do with all of it.

Ms. Ramirez-Thomas said she could do that. No problem.

Chair Eck asked about the schedule.

Ms. Ramirez-Thomas said the next meeting is on April 1.

J. ADJOURNMENT

Adjourned at approximately 4:39 pm

MOTION: Member Ivey moved, seconded by Member Zinn, to approve the motion to adjourn as presented.

VOTE: The motion was approved on the following Roll Call vote:

For: Member Ivey, Member Pierce, Member Wands, Member Zinn

Against: None

Abstain:



City of Santa Fe

MINUTES

ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW
COMMITTEE
MARCH 18, 2021
3:30 PM
VIRTUAL MEETING

/s/ Kandra Payne

/s/ Kandra Payne (Oct 7, 2021 15:51 MDT)

Liaison

[Handwritten Signature]

Chair *(7 February 2022)*