

**CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS ADVISORY COMMITTEE
DECEMBER 16, 2021, 9:30 AM
ATTENDED VIRTUALLY**

<u>ITEM</u>	<u>ACTION</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
CALL TO ORDER		1
ROLL CALL	QUORUM	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	GIVEN	1
APPROVAL OF AGENDA	APPROVED	2
APPROVAL OF MINUTES	APPROVED	2
STAFF COMMUNICATIONS	INFORMATION/DISCUSSION	2-5
 <u>ACTION ITEMS</u>		
UPDATE IFCIP TABLE 80 PLANNED MAJOR ROAD IMPROVEMENTS 2021-2027 WITH NEW PROJECTS AND UPDATED PRIORITIES FOR INCLUSION IN IMPACT FEES CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN FY 2021-2027	POSTPONED	6-8
UPDATE IFCIP TABLE 81 PARKS 2021-2027 WITH NEW PROJECTS AND UPDATED PRIORITIES FOR INCLUSION IN IMPACT FEES CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN FY 2021-2027.	POSTPONED	8
UPDATE IFCIP TABLE 83 POLICE 2021-2027 WITH UPDATED PRIORITIES FOR INCLUSION IN IMPACT FEES CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN FY 2021-2027.	APPROVED	9-10
REQUEST APPROVAL OF ROADS IMPACT FEES FUNDS IN THE TOTAL	PARTIAL APPROVAL	10

AMOUNT OF \$275,069 TO MEET CITY FUNDING OBLIGATIONS FOR FIVE FEDERALLY FUNDED ROADWAY PROJECTS ADMINISTERED BY NMDOT AND APPROVED BY THE GOVERNING BODY.

REQUEST FOR THE APPROVAL OF PARKS AND TRAILS IMPACT FEES FUNDS IN THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF \$174,720 TO MEET CITY FUNDING OBLIGATIONS FOR TWO FEDERALLY FUNDED TRAILS PROJECTS ADMINISTERED BY NMDOT AND APPROVED BY THE GOVERNING BODY	POSTPONED	10-11
REQUEST APPROVAL TO REASSIGN \$800,000 OF PARKS IMPACT FEE FUNDS FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A ROAD FOR SWAN PARK TO ROAD IMPACT FEE FUNDS.	TABLED	11
REQUEST APPROVAL TO ALLOCATE UP TO \$800,000 OF PARKS IMPACT FEE FUNDS FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF SIX (6) NEW TENNIS COURTS AT HERB MARTINEZ PARK AND LARRAGOITE PARK.	TABLED	11-12
MATTERS FROM THE FLOOR	INFORMATION/DISCUSSION	12-14
MATTERS FROM THE COMMISSION	NONE	14
ADJOURN	ADJOURNED	14

**CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS ADVISORY COMMITTEE
DECEMBER 16, 2021, 9:30 AM
ATTENDED VIRTUALLY**

1. CALL TO ORDER

The meeting of the Capital Improvements Advisory Committee was called to order by Mr. Lewis, Chair, on December 16, 2021, at 9:30 am and was attended virtually.

ROLL CALL

MEMBERS PRESENT

Brian Lewis, Chair
Gayla Bechtol, Member
Janet Clow, Member
Miles Conway, Member
Oralynn Guerrerortiz, Member
Ruth Hamilton, Member
Matt O'Reilly, Member
John Salazar, Member

MEMBERS ABSENT

Isaac "Ike" Pino, Member

OTHERS PRESENT

Romella Glorioso-Moss, Public Works Department
Noah Berke, Planning Manager, Land Use Department
Lee Logston, Planner, Land Use Department
Jason Kluck, Director, Land Use Department
Melissa McDonald, Director, Parks Department
Regina Wheeler, Director, Public Works
Sam Burnett, Director, Facilities, Public Works Department
Deputy Police Chief Valdez
Patricia Feghali, Assistant City Attorney
Elizabeth Martin, Stenographer

2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

3. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Member O'Reilly said he thought it would be more helpful to have item 6 A , the Review of Financials and Estimated Fund Balances, moved up on the agenda after the Approval of Minutes, so that the Members would have the financial information prior to making decisions.

MOTION A motion was made by Member O'Reilly, seconded by Member Clow, to approve the agenda as amended.

VOTE The motion passed on a roll call vote as follows:

Member Bechtol, yes; Member Clow, yes; Member Conway, yes; Member Guerrerortiz, yes; Member Hamilton, yes; Member O'Reilly, yes; Member Salazar, yes; Chair Lewis, yes.

4. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

MOTION A motion was made by Member Salazar, seconded by Member O'Reilly, to approve the minutes as presented.

VOTE The motion passed on a roll call vote as follows:

Member Bechtol, yes; Member Clow, yes; Member Conway, yes; Member Guerrerortiz, abstained; Member Hamilton, yes; Member O'Reilly, yes; Member Salazar, yes; Chair Lewis, yes.

5. STAFF COMMUNICATIONS

A. REVIEW FINANCIALS AND ESTIMATED FUND BALANCES

Mr. Logston reviewed the Fees Collected by Fiscal Year Chart that was included in the packet and gave a Power Point Presentation.

Mr. Logston informed the Board that the City of Santa Fe Finance Department was not prepared to offer any more updated information to us after June 30, 2020.

Ms. Wheeler said Public Works was notified two years ago by Carlos Gamora that we needed to utilize impact fees more. A number of projects have been funded with impact fees. There needs to be better linkage between this report and the actual amount of funds that have been encumbered for projects. Staff needs to bring to you a full report of encumbered funds over the last year. We can give you better reporting in the future.

Chair Lewis said that leads him to question how we are going to know what we can spend.

Member Guerrerortiz said this is an important issue. The issue with City Finance was discussed in the last CIAC meeting in May. It is unacceptable behavior by Finance.

Member Clow said Mr. Logston indicated that he was unable to pull together what had been spent - can Finance pull that together.

Mr. Logston said yes. He made repeated requests of Finance and did not receive any further information from them.

Member Clow stated there was an article in the paper that said the City would be late on their audit again.

Mr. Berke said staff reached out to Finance several times. This is their best estimate. Staff feels comfortable that there is sufficient funding for the requests before of you today.

Mr. Kluck stated that he agreed with what Mr. Berke said. He has been working with Mary McCoy and she intended to have the audited numbers by August. However, she was unable to do it. She said she would get us the information as soon as she could.

Member Clow said 3% of the Impact Fees can be used for administrative costs. They could be used to hire someone to do the accounting.

Mr. Berke said we are using those funds to bring on a CIAC Planner. An offer was made to a candidate. However, before we could make the offer the candidate accepted another position. We are looking for another candidate at this time.

Member Clow asked if an outside contract could be arranged.

Mr. Berke said that is a good suggestion, but even with that, the Finance Department is unable to give that person the information they would need.

Member O'Reilly stated that this has been an ongoing problem for years. The information is there in the AS400 City System.

Ms. Wheeler said Public Works and Finance partner very closely on Capital funds. We do have documentation on all approvals and BARs that we can move forward. Within a couple of days we could provide you with that information.

Chair Lewis said that would be very helpful. Please send that information to all

of the Committee members.

Mr. Berke said there has been approval of the allocation of funds, but the projects have not moved forward. After this Body approves them, they go to the Governing Body for approval.

Chair Lewis asked if there was a statute of limitations on how long the approvals last.

Mr. Berke said he believes the approvals stand. We have had struggles with Finance and are doing the best we can with the information we have gathered. Carlos Gamora did a good job and we did a good job.

Member O'Reilly stated that he thinks the City Attorney needs to speak to the Finance Director and explain the legal requirements this Committee has to follow in order to recommend the use of Impact Fees. He does not see how we can allocate any funds when the balances we have been shown are eighteen months old. He appreciates everything that Mr. Logston and Land Use have done. This has been a problem for years. He suggested that we ask Mr. Kluck to ask the City Attorney to speak to the Finance Director regarding this issue.

Mr. Kluck stated that he wholeheartedly agrees with Member O'Reilly's concerns. It is a systematic issue. There is a legal requirement. We have severe capacity issues. Fundamentally, he believes CIAC belongs in Public Works. Ms. Wheeler is in a better position to understand these balances and pull information. He envisions hiring a planner who will manage these projects cradle to grave. The next move we need to make is to hire that person. He agrees that we are in a challenging situation now and that we need to talk with the City Attorney.

Chair Lewis said without that information we may not be able to make decisions.

Member Clow said she can see moving forward with approvals if funds are available, but she wants to point out that we need to be on record that this issue of not having the information we need is problematic. A letter from the Chair needs to be written to the City outlining the issues with Finance. She feels vulnerable in carrying out funding recommendations when we are a Statutorily legal Committee. We need something in writing so we are all protected. She asked for staff to see if any of these funds could be used for an outside person to do the financial reporting.

Chair Lewis said he agreed with Member Clow.

Ms. Glorioso-Moss said she is a Senior Project Administrator in the Department of Public Works where we work on roadways and trails. We were told a year ago that we needed to monitor project expenditures. As of today, \$3,982,922 has been allocated to our projects. With the requests today, the amount would rise to

\$4,772,311. That would leave over \$2 million in road impact fees. We are monitoring our expenses very closely.

Chair Lewis said, so we have over \$2 million in road impact fees left. That is good for us to know.

Member Conway said he is deeply concerned. He does not think the information we need is too much to ask for. Have we encumbered stuff going back five years. He is willing to close this meeting and meet December 27th or 28th if we have the information we need. Are there any projects in danger of losing funds if we do not approve them before the end of the year, commenting that would be reckless, but may be worth doing.

Ms. Glorioso-Moss said we need to move forward to hire consultants for projects by January or February next year, so we need the matching funds requested.

Member Hamilton said she supports the remarks made by Member Clow regarding the vulnerability of the members. We have been talking about a subject for over a year that is unacceptable. A letter needs to be sent by the Chair. This Committee stands for the needs of the City of Santa Fe.

Chair Lewis said he agrees and is on board with that. He will be reaching out to Councilor Romero-Wirth, who appointed him. He asked if members are willing to vote on these projects now.

Mr. Kluck said we think there are funds.

Member Hamilton said if we are not voting she would like to have a discussion on the issues anyway.

Chair Lewis said he agreed.

Mr. Berke said there is no motion on the floor to not move forward with the action items.

Member Conway said he would like to move into the action items. We have some useful information on roads at least.

Chair Lewis said he agrees, but our top priority is getting good reporting.

6. ACTION ITEMS

A. UPDATE IFCIP TABLE 80 PLANNED MAJOR ROAD IMPROVEMENTS 2021-2027 WITH NEW PROJECTS AND UPDATED PRIORITIES FOR INCLUSION IN IMPACT FEES CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN FY 2021-2027

Ms. Glorioso-Moss reviewed the staff report included in the packet, stating that in May this Committee requested an update to Table 80. Public Works added six projects. We also updated the cost estimates.

Member Guerrerortiz said she understood that these projects are ones that could potentially use impact fees. Some of these projects are for reconstruction in older parts of town. How do you justify those projects being paid for by recent impact fees.

Ms. Glorioso-Moss said all of these projects are funded by NMDOT. We are seeking matching funds through impact fees.

Member Guerrerortiz asked how do we justify using impact fees in areas of our community that are not in expansion.

Ms. Glorioso-Moss said these projects are expansions. The St. Michaels Drive project is an economic priority area. They are expanding lanes. The City is experiencing a lot of growth in this area so we feel it is eligible.

Member Guerrerortiz said she does not necessarily agree with the staff position on that. She gets staff's desire to use these funds, but she is a bit nervous about the list. She is uncomfortable about voting for this table as presented.

Chair Lewis said St. Michaels is a major artery in the City. He hears the concerns, but we are not voting on this table. We are voting on if we approve the use of impact fees.

Member O'Reilly said he completely agrees with Member Guerrerortiz. In addition to the City Attorney speaking to the Finance Director, she needs to instruct staff as to what these funds can be used for. We are talking, in this item, about the prioritization of roadway impact fees. The table in the packet is supposed to be a prioritized table. Is this table in order of their priority from highest to lowest. What are the pluses and minuses for.

Mr. Logston said he put those tables together for the packet. These are in priority from highest to lowest. The pluses and minuses tell you where they were in the last table.

Member O'Reilly asked Ms. Glorioso-Moss if she agreed that the list is in order of priority.

Ms. Glorioso-Moss said the list she presented to Mr. Logston was not prioritized. It was in alphabetic order.

Member O'Reilly stated that the Committee asked for a list of priorities at our last meeting. Now we re told that this list does not have the priorities from Public Works.

Ms. Glorioso-Moss said these are our priorities. We are seeking funding for these projects. These are our priority projects for 2022-2027.

Member O'Reilly said he understands that, but it is not what we asked for and it is not helpful. Nothing gets on this table unless it comes from this Committee. We asked the Public Works Department to tell us which projects were the most important, so that we would know which ones to fund first. That is what he is looking for. He does not want to vote on this unless he knows what the City thinks are their top two or three projects.

Chair Lewis said he hears everyone loud and clear. He agrees that we need the prioritization.

Member Hamilton said she agrees wholeheartedly. She is distressed and disappointed. In order to go forward, we need the priorities.

Chair Lewis said we cannot move forward on this as it is. We will have to move this item to our next meeting for consideration, if the prioritization information and what six projects were added by staff is provided to us. We also need a detailed analysis of why staff feels these items are in compliance. This needs to be thought through carefully. Some of the St. Michaels projects may be qualified, but the reports need to detail that.

Member Bechtol said it seems that some of this confusion is about the last of long range planning, and asked in which order to we hear these projects - are we just approving the funding.

Mr. Berke said yes.

Ms. Glorioso-Moss said all of the new projects have been approved by the Governing Body.

Member Hamilton said, in listening to Ms. Glorioso-Moss she thinks we need to read the Act to understand why these projects quality. Staff needs to review the Act to help us better understand the justification.

Ms. Wheeler said there is extensive planning and diligence done for roadway and trail projects. They also require the approval of the Governing Body. The IFCIP is a subset of that. We have been asked to bring it all together and we are happy to do that.

MOTION A motion was made by the Chair, seconded by Member Guerrerortiz, to postpone item 6 A to the next meeting, contingent on the presentation by staff of a prioritized table, a listing of the six projects that were added and a detailed analysis of why staff feels the items are in compliance.

VOTE The motion passed on a roll call vote as follows:

Member Bechtol, yes; Member Clow, yes; Member Conway, yes; Member Guerrerortiz, yes; Member Hunter, yes; Member O'Reilly, yes; Member Salazar, yes; Chair Lewis, yes.

B. UPDATE IFCIP TABLE 81 PARKS 2021-2027 WITH NEW PROJECTS AND UPDATED PRIORITIES FOR INCLUSION IN IMPACT FEES CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN FY 2021-2027.

Ms. McDonald stated that she prepared a list, but it is not prioritized. You may want to postpone this item to the next meeting as well.

MOTION A motion was made by Member O'Reilly, seconded by Member Salazar, to postpone item 6 B to the next meeting, contingent on staff providing a prioritized list and analysis of why the items are in compliance.

Ms. Wheeler stated that it is an interesting exercise today to set priorities in Capital plans. We have asked the Legislature for funds this year and some could be used for Parks projects. Then there is the Jobs and Infrastructure Act. We have to be flexible and agile on the projects. Projects can jump up if funding becomes available. It is a dynamic situation with Capital projects. Public Works is staying abreast of priorities based on various opportunities.

Chair Lewis thanked Ms. Wheeler for the clarity.

Member Hamilton said a lot of what we are looking at in the Parks requests is equipment and she does not know if that is allowed.

VOTE The motion passed on a roll call vote as follows:

Member Bechtol, no; Member Clow, yes; Member Conway, yes; Member Hunter, yes; Member O'Reilly, yes; Member Salazar, yes; Chair Lewis, yes.

Chair Lewis said he is happy to meet as soon as we can and more often. He knows this is frustrating for staff.

C. UPDATE IFCIP TABLE 83 POLICE 2021-2027 WITH UPDATED PRIORITIES FOR INCLUSION IN IMPACT FEES CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN FY 2021-2027.

Mr. Burnett stated that his request is on behalf of the Police Department. Our list is in the packet and is prioritized as to our top three projects. Mr. Burnett reviewed the list and the packet information and stated that Deputy Chief Valdez was there if there were any questions.

Member O'Reilly thanked Mr. Burnett and his staff for actually telling us which items were important to them.

Chair Lewis asked Mr. Burnett or Deputy Chief Valdez to speak to how this is related to expansion and growth in the City.

Mr. Burnett said all these items are a reflection of growth in Santa Fe and the growing demands and requests of the Police Department and their need to respond.

Deputy Chief Valdez said the current Police headquarters was built in 1984. As the growth of the City occurs, the headquarters building becomes increasingly inadequate. The long drive out to the headquarters is also too much for some residents. A better space is critical to our operations. We need a good foot-hold in the heart of town. Another challenge we have is the speed monitoring devices being in a small storage. Also we always try to operate under best practices. Our vehicle lot, as proved in our audit, is not set up for best practices. We need to separate our fleet vehicles and other vehicles and improve our storage areas. As the City has grown there is a need to for additional equipment to provide a standard of maintenance.

Deputy Chief Valdez continues by saying the aged building we are in is not ADA accessible. We need to provide the ability for people to report concerns to us without barriers.

Ms. Feghali stated that impact fees can be used to add to the capacity of the Police Department if it serves the greater community.

Member Conway said there is no allocation request today.

Mr. Burnett said no, but we wanted to present the updated table to you for approval.

MOTION A motion was made by Member O'Reilly, seconded by Member Conway,

to approve the updated IFCIP Table 83 as presented.

VOTE The motion passed on a roll call vote as follows:

Member Bechtol, yes; Member Clow, yes; Member Conway, yes; Member Guerrerortiz, yes; Member Hunter, yes; Member O'Reilly, yes; Member Salazar, yes; Chair Lewis, yes.

Chair Lewis stated that items 6 D, E and F are related to the tables that we postponed. What would be our best approach to that.

The Committee decided to go through each item.

D. REQUEST APPROVAL OF ROADS IMPACT FEES FUNDS IN THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF \$275,069 TO MEET CITY FUNDING OBLIGATIONS FOR FIVE FEDERALLY FUNDED ROADWAY PROJECTS ADMINISTERED BY NMDOT AND APPROVED BY THE GOVERNING BODY.

Ms. Glorioso-Moss stated that the Committee did not approve the table so we cannot move forward with the new projects.

MOTION A motion was made by Mr. Conway, seconded by Mr. O'Reilly, to approve the projects with the exclusion of the Bishop's Lodge project and the Guadalupe Bridge project.

VOTE The motion passed on a roll call vote as follows:

Member Bechtol, yes; Member Clow, yes; Member Conway, yes; Member Guerrerortiz, yes; Member Hunter, yes; Member O'Reilly, yes; Member Salazar, yes; Chair Lewis, yes.

REQUEST FOR THE APPROVAL OF PARKS AND TRAILS IMPACT FEES FUNDS IN THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF \$174,720 TO MEET CITY FUNDING OBLIGATIONS FOR TWO FEDERALLY FUNDED TRAILS PROJECTS ADMINISTERED BY NMDOT AND APPROVED BY THE GOVERNING BODY.

MOTION A motion was made by Member O'Reilly, seconded by Member Conway, to postpone action on this request until the next meeting, contingent on all information being provided by staff as requested.

VOTE The motion passed on a roll call vote as follows:

Member Bechtol, yes; Member Clow, yes; Member Conway, yes; Member

Guerrerortiz, yes; Member Hunter, yes; Member O'Reilly, yes; Member Salazar, yes; Chair Lewis, yes.

E. REQUEST APPROVAL TO REASSIGN \$800,000 OF PARKS IMPACT FEE FUNDS FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A ROAD FOR SWAN PARK TO ROAD IMPACT FEE FUNDS.

Ms. Wheeler reviewed the request.

Member O'Reilly pointed out that for many reasons we have discussed today, he does not believe that these funds can be reallocated. The Act is very clear as to roads that impact fees can be spent on. Park access roads are not eligible. Arterials and Collectors are the only roads that are eligible.

Ms. Wheeler said she reached out to City Attorney Feghali about how the roadway funds could be used. This is a really important project as well as the item next on the agenda to rehab tennis courts. The reason to move the impact fees is to meet the higher demand.

Member Clow asked if they were going to lose funds by not approving the Guadalupe Bridge and Bishop's Lodge projects or if can we address them at the next meeting.

Ms. Glorioso-Moss said the funding agreement with NMDOT was approved last month. We have to hire the consultants for the projects by January or February. Without the matching funds we are requesting, we cannot award the contracts.

Member Guerrerortiz said she agreed with what Member O'Reilly said. She does not see how moving the \$800,000 to pay for a road and parking can be justified. She supports SWAN Park, but with the funds coming from the Parks Fund, not the Roads Fund.

MOTION A motion was made by Member Guerrerortiz, seconded by Member Hamilton, to table the request.

VOTE The motion passed on a roll call vote as follows:

Member Bechtol, no; Member Clow, yes; Member Conway, yes; Member Guerrerortiz, yes; Member Hamilton, yes; Member O'Reilly, yes; Member Salazar, yes; Chair Lewis, yes.

F. REQUEST APPROVAL TO ALLOCATE UP TO \$800,000 OF PARKS IMPACT FEE FUNDS FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF SIX (6) NEW TENNIS COURTS AT HERB MARTINEZ PARK AND LARRAGOITE

PARK.

Chair Lewis said, in light of the previous outcome item F is impossible.

Ms. Wheeler said that is correct.

Member Conway said he was going to vote no on this item anyway. Impact fees are not approved to resurface tennis courts. Parks should have planned for this expenditure. This request is inappropriate.

MOTION A motion was made by Member Conway, seconded by Member Guerrerortiz, to table the request.

VOTE The motion passed on a roll call vote as follows:

Member Bechtol, no ; Member Clow, yes; Member Conway, yes; Member Guerrerortiz, yes; Member Hamilton, yes; Member O'Reilly, yes; Member Salazar, yes; Chair Lewis, yes.

Member Salazar left the meeting.

Mr. Burke stated that normally when items are tabled we are given direction as to what the Committee needs to see from staff in order to revisit the item.

Member Conway said we need to revisit this when the issues are worked out on the SWAN Park road.

Chair Lewis agreed with Member Conway.

7. MATTERS FROM THE FLOOR

Member Conway asked if a motion needed to be made to send a letter to the City Attorney.

Member Hamilton said she would love to send a letter to all of the City Councilors. We will need to share the draft with all of the Committee members for approval.

Ms. Feghali stated that the Committee would run afoul of the Open Meetings Act in sharing the letter with each other.

Chair Lewis said we should stick with each of us individually speaking with our City Councilors on this issue.

Ms. Wheeler said she appreciated the guidance and the laser focus of the Committee and asked if the next meeting could be set up very soon.

Chair Lewis asked staff to poll the members of the Committee as to possible dates.

Member Bechtol asked how the Committee would determine eligibility of projects in the future asking if it is this Committee that decides.

Mr. Berke said it is this Committee's decision. You evaluate the projects against the State statute for recommendation.

Member Bechtol said then it is up to staff to make it clear as to how the project relates to growth.

Chair Lewis said in the past they have been presented with clarity - we need that.

Member O'Reilly said he agreed with what was just said. It would be helpful if in the staff memos justification was provided as to eligibility. The statute is very clear that arterial or connector roads are the only ones that can be paid for with impact fees. It would also be helpful if from time to time the City Attorney trained the staff and reviewed the staff memos. It is not that the projects aren't great, but we are governed by State statute and the law.

Chair Lewis said he appreciated Member O'Reilly's comments.

Member Hamilton asked if the City Departments had access to the 2020 census data. It is easy to say that Santa Fe is growing, but she would like to hear how the growth is justified. Parts of the City are growing and parts are not.

Mr. Logston said we do have access to such things. It will be hard to put that information together by the first two weeks of January. He is taking off some time.

Ms. Wheeler said the City is experiencing massive vacancy rates. We are all trying to serve as best we can. She would like to offer the Land Use Department assistance in creating the January meeting. Traffic counts and census are pretty straightforward for the projects. The MPO also can provide information as to why projects are on the list.

Member Clow said she appreciates staff and the amount of work they do. She sits on the Planning Commission and we see staff hard at work. If we meet in January, are we just meeting to consider the two projects we left off of the approval list.

Chair Lewis said we also will be looking at the prioritized tables.

Mr. Kluck said he recommends that the Committee meet next on February 10th. He would like to have the CIAC Planner in place as well. That is a more reasonable target date.

Ms. Wheeler said, given the number of issues on the table and the discomfort of the Committee, she and Ms. Glorioso-Moss will get together and work on finding funds from other sources for the two projects in question.

8. MATTERS FROM THE COMMISSION

None.

9. ADJOURN

There being no further business before the Committee the meeting adjourned at 11:50 am.

Brian Lewis, Chair

Elizabeth Martin, Stenographer

Signature: Brian Lewis
Brian Lewis (May 16, 2022 17:12 MDT)

Email: brianchilderslewis@gmail.com






2021-12-16 CIAC Minutes Unsigned

Final Audit Report

2022-05-16

Created:	2022-05-16
By:	Lee Logston (llogston@ci.santa-fe.nm.us)
Status:	Signed
Transaction ID:	CBJCHBCAABAACHLNOQvZjrVZc45mNQBZ_Ks4oi3CHSgb

"2021-12-16 CIAC Minutes Unsigned" History

-  Document created by Lee Logston (llogston@ci.santa-fe.nm.us)
2022-05-16 - 6:14:43 PM GMT- IP address: 63.232.20.2
-  Document emailed to Brian Lewis (brianchilderslewis@gmail.com) for signature
2022-05-16 - 6:14:56 PM GMT
-  Email viewed by Brian Lewis (brianchilderslewis@gmail.com)
2022-05-16 - 9:47:44 PM GMT- IP address: 104.28.111.69
-  Document e-signed by Brian Lewis (brianchilderslewis@gmail.com)
Signature Date: 2022-05-16 - 11:12:23 PM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 65.249.132.204
-  Agreement completed.
2022-05-16 - 11:12:23 PM GMT