

From: [City of Santa Fe](#)
To: [Governing Body Public Comment](#)
Subject: New submission from your Governing Body Public Comment form
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 1:02:54 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Submitted on: Monday, June 23, 2025 at 1:02pm

- First Name: Stefanie
- Last Name: Beninato
- Your Email Address: [REDACTED]
- Your District: District 2
- Meeting Date: 06/25/2025
- Section of Agenda you would like to comment on: Public Comment
- Your Comment: I informed Frank Ruybalid on 17 June 2025 that I was no longer Ms. Leth-Espensen's agent (professional historian).

However, i think the GB should look closely at the appeals application because it lays out the issues and shows a high degree of bias against Ms Leth-Espensen (hardship for safety must be unique); no speculation as to architectural features but HDRB and staff insistence on picket fence where none existed; safety considerations for Girls Inc, 803 Agua Fria etc; coyote fencing allowed in this historic district and is evident on otero, castillo place and paseo; both sides of street are part of the streetscape etc.

I wonder why the city is providing money to organizations for such health care as doula services and grief services--and for the latter I wonder how much of that organization's religious beliefs get interjected into the grief counseling. (Items h and K) Similar questions for legal advise provided to organizations helping immigrants. I support immigrant rights but Ms McSherry's refrain is we cannot provide legal advice... (items p and q)

Where is the diagram/plan/plat for the bike/pedestrian improvements on Pacheco St?