

CARDENAS, GERALYN F.

From: City of Santa Fe <noreply@santafenm-gov.com>
Sent: Monday, January 13, 2025 4:15 PM
To: Governing Body Public Comment
Subject: New submission from your Governing Body Public Comment form

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Submitted on: Monday, January 13, 2025 at 4:14pm

- First Name: Stefanie
- Last Name: Beninato
- Your District: District 2
- Meeting Date: 01/15/2025
- Section of Agenda you would like to comment on: Petitions from the floor
- Your Comment: Problems with new portal It is not really an open meeting when you have to be sent a link and then you cannot make public comments because it is not an option. I called the city clerk and was sent a different link that only allows for public comment. It does not allow one to see the submissions that are part of the packet or to comment directly on other items. What a mess but this seems typical—every “this will be a better system” seems only to lead to more problems.

D 101 CV 2023 01743

I am asking that you all direct the city attorney to allow Scott Hatcher of cuddy and mccarthy the authority to settle. The city through these hired lawyers is engaging in malfeasance by insisting a third party contractor is responsible when that third party has denied providing the services the city insists it did....The city has no contracts etc for 2021 services provided for the weight room at Perez—just one example of why the city will not be able to show reasonable care...the city has to operate and maintain the weight area with reasonable care according to the Tort Claim Act. BTW these lawyers claim operate and maintain are vague and overbroad despite case law defining these terms.

We are wasting taxpayer resources on this case. Please direct the attorney to settle.

Sidewalks

An older woman at 614 Paseo de Peralta is being hounded by the city to pay for the city’s snow plow damage to the curb in front of her property on Paseo de Peralta. The city sent it to a hearing officer who spoke with the city who refuses to budge from its unreasonable decision

In the meantime we have NO action after 8 years of notices to the city about the 1100 block of Don Cubero (photos 1152-55) Owners are either allowing their sidewalks and driveway aprons to degrade or actively remove them and put in gravel. The graveled area in photo 1156-1160 is at 316 E Buena Vista and was sidewalk/driveway apron. It is now a hazard even for a mobile individual. The property is probably worth well over 2 million dollars.-66

Photos 1161-62 700 block Galisteo

Photos 1163-66 Sena St 200 block

And where are those with mobility issues supposed to go? In the street?

OLD SANTA FE TRAIL HDRB APPEAL

I cannot access the packet for this file so I am unable to see what the appeal looks like. How can this really be a public hearing without the documents easily accessible to the public?

I am in support of the HDRB's designation of the status of these buildings. As I recall, no one in the family has lived on the property for years, and the current family owner has no intention of doing so either. The main house is a multiunit rental. It would lessen the value of the property in itself and would lead to denial of any type of historic preservation credits a future owner might apply for if these statuses are changed. Additionally, the reasons given in the HDRB's FOF and COL support the board's designation.