

From: [Jessica Sage](#)
To: [GLORIOSO-MOSS , ROMELLA S.](#)
Subject: Bike committee meeting comments
Date: Friday, June 12, 2026 12:16:25 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello Romella and the BPAC,

I'm really excited by all of the things going on in the railyard this Summer, but as a bike commuter, it's been a bit of a mess. Just last night the city had a concert series in the railyard which blocked the bike trail from being able to be used, and left no alternative route to get around the event. This has happened with nearly every event in the railyard this year. I think our city feels biking is simply recreational — it's not. I saw many trying to get home safely after work, trying to navigate kids around the obstruction, etc. When you do not leave safe opportunities for bike commuters to use the trails that they typically depend on, you put those individuals into harm's way.

Strangely enough, the city hosted a bike event last month where **you could not use the bike trail that goes through the railyard**. There was literally no way to bike to — or through — the bike event the city planned....for bikers. It leaves me wondering if anyone on the event planning committee for railyard events has ridden a bike in Santa Fe or understands the frustration they're imposing. It might be useful for actual commuters to have input in the planning of these events.

The easy solution seems to be: **come up with an alternative, safe bike route on days where the railyard trail will not be able to be used**. This should be baked into railyard event planning and have ample signage for bikers to adapt to. The railyard is the main "artery" of our entire city bike system and should be honored as such.

Thanks,

Jessica Sage

From: [Anne worthington](#)
To: [GLORIOSO-MOSS , ROMELLA S.](#)
Subject: SF River Path concerns
Date: Sunday, June 21, 2026 10:14:06 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Ms. Glorioso-Moss,

I live next to the Santa Fe River path and walk the path daily. We have 2 concerns. 1) At least once a day a bike speeds by without notifying pedestrians. Today a particularly aggressive male biker passed us on the trail going extremely fast and barely missing me as he passed. He failed to warn us of his approach. I shouted in surprise and the bike screeched to halt and accused us of taking up too much space on the path. We reminded him that pedestrians have the right of way. (there are very few signs that remind bikers of that fact). He cursed us and sped off. I have experienced bikers like this before in this path. Since so many elderly use this path daily (Many of whom are unsteady and hard of hearing) this makes it dangerous for both. Has anyone addressed this issue before? What can be done?

2) There are also throttle bikes that are essentially motor bikes (no peddling needed) that speed down the path making a lot of noise. Is this legal? I know electric assist bikes are fine but not sure about these types.

Can you advise us if these complaints have been addressed before and what has been done. Or if not what recourse do we have? Can more signs be placed in the path that direct bikers to limit their speed, alert walkers of their presence and give right of way to pedestrians.

I hope to hear from you soon.

Thank you

Anne Worthington

anneworth6@gmail.com

505 930-2763

River Rd and Calle don Jose

From: [Anne worthington](#)
To: [GLORIOSO-MOSS , ROMELLA S.](#)
Cc: [FEGHALI, PATRICIA C.](#)
Subject: Re: SF River Path concerns
Date: Saturday, June 27, 2026 10:31:49 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello Again,

It's Sat June 27. We took our usual walk along the Santa Fe River Trail today. There were many more bikes today than we have ever seen on the trail. Several warned us and slowed and about 1/4 just zoomed by. We had to constantly look behind us to see if a bike was approaching. It was not a pleasant or relaxing walk. Then there was a group of about 25 decked out in bright bike outfits that passed us. Must be a senior bike club. We had to step off the path and stop since there were so many passing at a speed that would have injured everyone. Big groups like that make walking very uncomfortable. Could they not take the road in those numbers? We know it is a walking and biking path (and I bike myself) but they showed no awareness that peds have the right of way. My partner fell soon after they passed because it was so disconcerting and pavement uneven. This is becoming not only unpleasant but dangerous.

If a serious injury has not already occurred in Santa Fe, there have been fatal injuries to joggers being hit by bikes and killed in other cities. One was one a path in Dallas are just like the River trail.

I know you are planning to put up signage but most bikers don't read signs on the side since they are going too fast. Wouldn't painting directions directly on the path as is done on streets be more effective? For instance, peds have right of way. Slow for pedestrians.

Is there something we can do to help support and encourage change?

Anne Worthington
Stuart Long
anneworth6@gmail.com
505 930-2763

On Mon, Jun 22, 2026, 10:30 AM GLORIOSO-MOSS , ROMELLA S. <rsglorioso-moss@santafenm.gov> wrote:

Dear Ms. Worthington,

Thank you for reaching out and sharing your concerns regarding the Santa Fe River Trail. We appreciate hearing from trail users and understand the importance of maintaining a safe and enjoyable environment for everyone.

The Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee (BPAC) is currently working on a trail signage initiative that will address trail etiquette, safety, and shared-use expectations. The committee expects to finalize the signage design next month, and the proposed signs will include reminders regarding appropriate trail behavior, including yielding, speed awareness, and courteous interactions among trail users.

We are sorry to hear about your recent experience with an aggressive cyclist. Pedestrians do have the right of way on shared-use trails, and all trail users are expected to operate safely and respectfully. Concerns regarding cyclists traveling at excessive speeds and failing to announce their approach have been raised by other trail users as well, which is one of the reasons BPAC has prioritized improvements to trail signage.

Regarding your second concern, motor vehicles are not permitted on City trails. Many trails already have signage indicating that motorized vehicles are prohibited. If you observe vehicles operating on the trail that appear to be motorized and are not permitted under applicable regulations, you may wish to report specific incidents to the City Constituent Services at [Constituent Services | City of Santa Fe](#).

I am copying BPAC Chair, Councilor Pat Feghali.

Thank you again for bringing these issues to our attention. Public feedback helps inform BPAC's ongoing efforts to improve safety and accessibility throughout Santa Fe's trail network.

Sincerely,

Romella

Romella Glorioso-Moss, PhD, CPM, AICP

Capital Projects Manager & BPAC Staff Liaison

Public Works – Complete Streets

[737 Agua Fria St, Santa Fe, NM 87501](#)

T: (505) 955-6623

M: (505) 629-7846

From: Anne worthington <anneworth6@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 21, 2026 10:14 AM
To: GLORIOSO-MOSS , ROMELLA S. <rsglorioso-moss@santafenm.gov>
Subject: SF River Path concerns

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Ms. Glorioso-Moss,

I live next to the Santa Fe River path and walk the path daily. We have 2 concerns. 1) At least once a day a bike speeds by without notifying pedestrians. Today a particularly aggressive male biker passed us on the trail going extremely fast and barely missing me as he passed. He failed to warn us of his approach. I shouted in surprise and the bike screeched to halt and accused us of taking up too much space on the path. We reminded him that pedestrians have the right of way. (there are very few signs that remind bikers of that fact). He cursed us and sped off. I have experienced bikers like this before in this path. Since so many elderly use this path daily (Many of whom are unsteady and hard of hearing) this makes it dangerous for both. Has anyone addressed this issue before? What can be done?

2) There are also throttle bikes that are essentially motor bikes (no peddling needed) that speed down the path making a lot of noise. Is this legal? I know electric assist bikes are fine but not sure about these types.

Can you advise us if these complaints have been addressed before and what has been done. Or if not what recourse do we have? Can more signs be placed in the path that direct bikers to limit their speed, alert walkers of their presence and give right of way to pedestrians.

I hope to hear from you soon.

Thank you

Anne Worthington

anneworth6@gmail.com

505 930-2763

River Rd and Calle don Jose

From: [Tina Salazar](#)
To: [Adam Fulton Johnson](#); [Hank Hughes](#); [Liz Stefanics](#); [webeditor@sfnewmexican.com](#); [YNGVE, LEAH X.](#); [Santa Fe MPO](#); [bikesantafe@gmail.com](#); [GLORIOSO-MOSS, ROMELLA S.](#); [rhonda.lopez@dot.nm.gov](#); [james.murray@dot.nm.gov](#); [dps.pio@state.nm.us](#); [info@sfcc.edu](#); [romabeyta@sfps.k12.nm.us](#); [lst@nets.com](#); [Ken Johnson](#); [Leandro R. Cordova](#); [Brian K. Snyder](#); [Curt E. Temple](#); [Sara Smith](#); [Stephanie Stancil](#); [Emma Felt](#); [Jacob Black](#); [Ambra Baca](#); [Brittney Lovato](#); [Gabriel C. Bustos](#); [Olivia Padilla](#); [Rosa M. Vigil](#)
Subject: Rabbit Road Maintenance Jurisdiction Clarification
Date: Monday, June 29, 2026 11:58:54 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[RabbitRd_Maintenance.pdf](#)
[Rabbit Road Maintenance_GB.docx](#)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Good afternoon, Mr. Stolk,

I wanted to follow up regarding your inquiry about which government entity is responsible for maintaining the approximately one-half mile section of Rabbit Road between Falcon Way West (from Old Las Vegas Highway) and Entrada de Santiago. Please see attached maps.

To ensure everyone has the same information, I have included all individuals who have been involved in previous communications regarding this matter, as well as the entities you identified in your initial correspondence.

After reviewing the matter, I can confirm that neither Santa Fe County nor the New Mexico Department of Transportation (NMDOT) is currently responsible for maintaining that portion of the roadway. At this time, Santa Fe County's maintenance responsibility extends approximately 1,300 feet east of the Falcon Way roundabout. A sign is posted indicating where County maintenance ends.

The section of Rabbit Road you referenced is currently owned by Santa Fe Global Partners, LLC, the developer of the next phase of Oshara, now known as Arroyo Hondo de Santa Fe. As part of the development approval process, the developer is required to dedicate that portion of Rabbit Road to Santa Fe County. Once the dedication process is complete and the roadway is formally accepted by the County, Santa Fe County will have the authority and responsibility to maintain it.

Beyond the developer-owned segment, ownership and maintenance responsibility transitions to NMDOT near Entrada de Santiago.

I understand your frustration regarding the current condition of the roadway and appreciate your concerns. However, until the road has been formally dedicated and accepted by Santa Fe County, the County does not have jurisdiction or legal authority to perform maintenance on that section. In addition, the County cannot expend public funds or performance maintenance on roads or property that it does not own or maintain, as doing so could create legal and liability concerns.

Please let me know if you have any additional questions. I will do my best to assist.

Respectfully,

Tina M. Salazar | Constituent Services Liaison

District 4 | Commissioner Adam F. Johnson, PhD

(505) 986-6319 | tsalazar@santafecountynm.gov | 102 Grant Avenue, Santa Fe, NM 87501

Please take a moment to [leave feedback](#) on my performance with you.



From: Russ Stolk <russstolk@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, June 29, 2026 11:35 AM

To: Tina Salazar <tsalazar@santafecountynm.gov>

Subject: Re: Follow-Up Regarding Your Concerns

Warning:

EXTERNAL EMAIL: Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Greetings Tina. Hey... just checking back on this Monday the 29th to see if any updates on the Public Health concern related to Rabbit Road. The BikeSantaFe board meeting is in one week next Tuesday and we would like to present any findings and updates to the MPO & BPAC as they are also informed of the situation with Rabbit Road. Russ

From: Russ Stolk <russstolk@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, June 24, 2026 9:44 AM

To: Curt E. Temple <ctemple@santafecountynm.gov>; Tina Salazar <tsalazar@santafecountynm.gov>

Subject: Liability issues for Wheelchair users, cyclists, pedestrians Rabbit Road

Warning:

EXTERNAL EMAIL: Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Ok you all.... As a Registered Nurse of 40+ years caring for wheelchair users, pedestrians, & cyclists and your fellow family members & relative, and native New Mexicans & tourists at Saint Vincent Hospital WHO OR WHAT DAMN GOVERNMENT AGENCY IS RESPONSIBLE FOR PUBLIC SAFETY, DEATHS, DISMEMBERMENT, ETC.

ALONG THAT DAMN 1/2 mile section of UNCLAIMED ROADWAY on Rabbit Road! As a board member of BikeSantaFe a Registered Nurse, a voting constituent, I highly suggest ALL OF YOU respond ASAP as this email/text will be presented to the following: SantaFeNewMexican paper, BikeSantaFe board members, Leah Ingve @ MPO, the board members of the BPAC, the NMDOT legal department, the entire Santa Fe county commissioners to enable the finalization of just which governmental agency is wait for it Actually responsible for Legally mandated road safety and maintenance to eliminate, remove, and actually GIVE A DAMN about YOUR FELLOW RELATIVES, FAMILY, FRIENDS ETC.... Professionally Yours, Russell Stolk, RN & Board member of BikeSantaFe

From: Russ Stolk <russstolk@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 24, 2026 9:29 AM
To: Curt E. Temple <ctemple@santafecountynm.gov>
Cc: Tina Salazar <tsalazar@santafecountynm.gov>; Brian K. Snyder <bsnyder@santafecountynm.gov>
Subject: WHICH DAMN GOVERNMENT AGENCY IS RESPONSIBLE!!!

Warning:

EXTERNAL EMAIL: Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Responsibility for Rabbit Road Maintenance Between Falcon Way and Entrada de Santiago

No — NMDOT District 5 is not responsible for road maintenance on that stretch of Rabbit Road; Santa Fe County is fully responsible for its maintenance and safety.

According to a 2025 report from Santa Fe Seniors on Bikes, the lead engineer from NMDOT District 5, James Murray, confirmed that **Santa Fe County is “fully liable & responsible for road safety maintenance” on the Rabbit Road segment between the new Falcon Way round-about and Entrada de Santiago**santafesobs.com. This means NMDOT District 5 does not perform or oversee maintenance work there. NMDOT District 5’s official scope covers roadway construction, maintenance, and engineering for highways within its jurisdiction, which includes Santa Fe and surrounding areas New Mexico Department of Transportation. However, the Rabbit Road segment in question is a **county-maintained road**, not a state highway under NMDOT’s direct control santafesobs.com+1. Santa Fe County’s Public Works Road Maintenance Division handles all aspects of upkeep for its roads, including grading, mowing, drainage repairs, pothole fixes, and snow removal Santa Fe County.

From: Curt E. Temple <ctemple@santafecountynm.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, June 24, 2026 7:45 AM

To: Tina Salazar <tsalazar@santafecountynm.gov>; Brian K. Snyder <bsnyder@santafecountynm.gov>

Cc: russstolk@gmail.com

Subject: RE: Constituent Concern Regarding Hazardous Conditions Along Rabbit Road

Hello Tina,

Thank you for reaching out regarding this roadway concern.

The section of roadway you referenced falls under the maintenance responsibility of NMDOT. Santa Fe County is responsible only for a small portion of the roadway located east of the Falcon Way and Rabbit Road roundabout.

I appreciate you bringing this matter to my attention. The next time I am in the area, I will look at the County-maintained portion of the roadway to ensure there are no issues requiring our attention.

Thank you again for your diligence and for keeping me informed.

Sincerely,

Curt E. Temple

Public Works Projects Section Manager

Santa Fe County Public Works Department

424 NM Highway 599, Building H

Santa Fe, New Mexico 87507

Office: 505-992-9863

Cell: 505-490-2691



From: Tina Salazar

Sent: Tuesday, June 23, 2026 4:51 PM

To: 'russstolk@gmail.com' <russstolk@gmail.com>

Subject: Follow-Up Regarding Your Concerns

Good afternoon, RN Stolk,

I apologize for the delayed response. I was finally able to locate the email you referenced in Commissioner Johnson's inbox. I have just copied an email that was sent to Director Brian Snyder and Roads Division Director Curt Temple regarding your concerns.

I will not be back in the office until Thursday, June 25, 2026, but I would be more than happy to give you a call then. My hope is that we will receive some information regarding this matter by that time.

I'll be in touch.

Thank you and best regards,

Tina M. Salazar | Constituent Services Liaison

District 4 | Commissioner Adam F. Johnson, PhD

(505) 986-6319 | tsalazar@santafecountynm.gov | 102 Grant Avenue, Santa Fe, NM 87501

Please take a moment to [leave feedback](#) on my performance with you.



From: Tina Salazar <tsalazar@santafecountynm.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, June 23, 2026 4:49 PM

To: Brian K. Snyder <bsnyder@santafecountynm.gov>; Curt E. Temple <ctemple@santafecountynm.gov>

Cc: russstolk@gmail.com

Subject: Constituent Concern Regarding Hazardous Conditions Along Rabbit Road

Good afternoon, Director Snyder and Division Director Temple,

Commissioner Johnson's Office has received correspondence from constituent Russ Stolk regarding ongoing safety concerns along approximately one-half mile of Rabbit Road, between Entrada de Santiago and Falcon Way, west of Old Pecos Highway.

Mr. Stolk reports the presence of potentially hazardous conditions within the roadway corridor, including overgrown vegetation, debris, broken glass, rocks, trash, deceased animals, and other obstacles that may pose risks to pedestrians, cyclists, wheelchair users, motorists, school children, and visitors.

The constituent indicated that a certified letter has previously been sent to the Road Maintenance Division and expressed concern that these conditions have not yet been addressed. They also noted their intention to share their concerns with various agencies and community organizations.

Could you please review this matter and advise whether the reported conditions fall within County maintenance responsibilities and, if so, whether any inspection or maintenance activities are planned?

Thank you for your attention to this concern. Commissioner Johnson appreciates any information you can provide so that we may respond appropriately to the constituent.

Respectfully,

Tina M. Salazar | Constituent Services Liaison

District 4 | Commissioner Adam F. Johnson, PhD

(505) 986-6319 | tsalazar@santafecountynm.gov | 102 Grant Avenue, Santa Fe, NM 87501



-----Original Message-----

From: russell stolk <russstolk@icloud.com>

Sent: Monday, May 11, 2026 1:46 PM

To: Adam Fulton Johnson <afjohnson@santafecountynm.gov>

Subject: Rabbit Road hazard to wheelchair users , cyclists, pedestrians

WARNING:

EXTERNAL EMAIL: Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Sent from my iPad Have sent certified registered letter to your director of Santa Fe County Road Maintenance Division BRIAN SNYDER and now to YOU as his county employees to service FINALLY as per New Mexico laws to attend and remove, service, do per New Mexico State laws to remove, cut, discard, pick up the hazardous TREES, CHAMISA SHRUBS, GLASS, ROCKS, DEBRIE, DEAD ANIMALS, TRASH AND HAZARDOUS WHEELCHAIRS/PEDESTRAIN/ CYCLISTS road conditions FOR THE 1/2 MILE LENGTH ALONG RABBIT ROAD FROM ENTRADA DE SANTIAGO TO FALCON WAY WEST OF OLD PECOS HIGHWAY ON RABBIT ROAD!!!! A photocopy of this email will be sent to the SANTA FE COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, BIKESANTAFE, MPO, BPAC, NEW MEXICO STATE POLICE, NMDOT, and other citizens of Santa Fe county including SFCC students, Santa Fe School District Suoervisor too ASSURE THAT ALL RESPONSIVE GOVERNMENT AGENCIES AND THE POLITICIANS are aware of this ongoing hazardous situation against the children, citizens, tourists, etc. Professionally yours, Russell Stolk, RN



entrada de santiago

Sign in

Tool Labels

File Home Map Tools

Save to ArcGIS View My Content Open Save Save as

ArcGIS Global Tasks

I want to...

Owner Name: SANTA FE GLOBAL PARTNERS LLC

UPC: 105109442338800000

Parcel Number: 99311936

Physical Address: 370 RABBIT RD

City: SANTA FE

State: NM

Zip Code: 87508

Situs In Care Of:

Owner Mailing Address: 7485 FAIRWAY DR APT 430

Owner City: MIAMI LAKES


Owner State: FL

Owner Zip: 33014-6875


Owner In Care Of:

TRA Number: 00-1

Add to Results View Additional Details Run a Report




Santa Fe County Location Map Rabbit Rd Maintenance by SFC, NMDOT, Others



Credits:
 Santa Fe County Growth Management Dept.,
 Santa Fe County Public Works Dept.,
 Santa Fe County Assessor's Office,
 New Mexico Dept. of Transportation,
 Esri, NASA, NGA, USGS, FEMA

References:
 Santa Fe County Resolution 2026-039
 Santa Fe County Road Map
 NM DOT Maintained Routes
 Date Exported: 6/26/2026 12:30 PM

This information is for reference only.
 Santa Fe County assumes no liability for
 errors associated with the use of these data.
 Users are solely responsible for
 confirming data accuracy.



- RABBIT RD
- Maintenance by Santa Fe County
 - Maintenance by others
 - Maintenance by NMDOT
 - Selected parcels



From: [Matt Johns](#)
To: [FEGHALI, PATRICIA C.](#)
Cc: [GLORIOSO-MOSS, ROMELLA S.](#)
Subject: Trail Signage Public Comment
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2026 5:43:26 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Councilor Feghali, Ms. Glorioso-Moss, and committee,

(May this please be forwarded to Judith Gabriele.)

I liked the initial sign proposal. It's a delicate balance between law, education and etiquette.

I cannot match your template, but I think this content is on track to meet the feedback and goals:



- E-bikes are marked Class 1 & 2 (matches statute and is educational).
- The courtesy rules (yield, slow to pass) are worded as advisory, while the actual laws (e-bike class, leash and control) read as firm, so nothing claims authority it doesn't have.
- I dropped the Zia, because it's a State rather than City emblem.

I enjoyed coming to the committee meeting and was able to review the remainder of the meeting online.

The goals and objectives are truly an impressive task list.

Looking forward to seeing everyone again,

Matt Johns

1555 Kachina Ridge

512-726-4276